

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
Acting United States Attorney  
SAM STEFANKI  
Assistant United States Attorney  
501 I Street, Suite 10-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814  
Telephone: (916) 554-2700  
Facsimile: (916) 554-2900

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
United States of America

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
CHALONER SAINTILLUS,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:20-CR-00213-KJM

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND STIPULATION  
REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS  
UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; [PROPOSED]  
FINDINGS AND ORDER

DATE: October 4, 2021  
TIME: 9:00 a.m.  
COURT: Hon. Kimberly J. Mueller

Plaintiff United States of America (the “government”), by and through its counsel of record, and defendant Chaloner Saintillus (who refers to himself as Shalam Ali El Bey), by and through his counsel of record, hereby provide a joint status report and stipulate as follows:

1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on October 4, 2021.
2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status conference until October 18, 2021, and to exclude time between October 4, 2021, and October 18, 2021, under Local Codes A and T4.
3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:
  - a) The government has produced or made available for inspection and copying more than 1,300 pages of discovery, including numerous image files and investigative reports.
  - b) Counsel for the defendant desires additional time to conduct investigation and research related to the charges, review discovery, and otherwise prepare for trial.

c) The defendant is presently in the custody of the Attorney General at or in transit from Metropolitan Detention Center-Los Angeles (“MDC-LA”), where he was housed for the purpose of undergoing a mental competency examination previously ordered by the Court. Defense counsel’s ability to communicate with their client has been curtailed due to the defendant’s custodial status at MDC-LA. For this reason, counsel for the defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The government does not object to such a continuance.

d) The Federal Bureau of Prisons recently sent a letter to the Court providing an update on the evaluation of the defendant’s competency. ECF No. 53. In that letter, the Bureau of Prisons evaluator indicated the following: (i) the defendant’s evaluation period is complete, (ii) the U.S. Marshals Services is aware that the defendant is eligible to be transferred back to the Eastern District of California, and (iii) the evaluator’s written report on the defendant’s competency should be completed by October 13, 2021. ECF No. 53. This is because the evaluator requires additional time “to review supplemental material and to incorporate the information contained in the records into the report and formulate an opinion.” ECF No. 53 at 1.

e) So far as the parties are aware, the defendant has yet to return to this district.

f) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.

g) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 4, 2021, to October 18, 2021, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendant’s request on the basis of the Court’s finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of October 4, 2021, through October

1 18, 2021, inclusive, is also deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(A) [Local  
2 Code A] because it results from proceedings to determine the mental competency of the  
3 defendant.

4 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the  
5 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial  
6 must commence.

7 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

8  
9  
10 Dated: September 29, 2021

PHILLIP A. TALBERT  
Acting United States Attorney

11  
12 /s/ SAM STEFANKI  
13 SAM STEFANKI  
Assistant United States Attorney

14  
15 Dated: September 29, 2021

/s/ MIA CRAGER  
16 MIA CRAGER  
17 Counsel for Defendant  
CHALONER SAINTILLUS,  
18 aka SHALAM ALI EL BEY

19  
20 **[PROPOSED] FINDINGS AND ORDER**

21 IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2021.

22  
23 THE HONORABLE KIMBERLY J. MUELLER  
24 Chief United States District Judge